## The TTC 2021 OCL2PSQL Case

Hoang Nguyen Phuoc Bao ngpbhoang1406@gmail.com Vietnamese-German University Binh Duong, Vietnam

Antonio García-Domínguez a.garcia-dominguez@aston.ac.uk Aston University Birmingham, United Kingdom

Manuel Clavel manuel.clavel@vgu.edu.vn Vietnamese-German University Binh Duong, Vietnam

## ABSTRACT

1 2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

The Object Constraint Language (OCL) is a textual, declarative language used as part of the UML standard for specifying constraints and queries on models. As such, generating code from OCL expressions is part of an end-to-end model-driven development process. Certainly, this is the case for database-centric application development, where integrity constraints and queries can be naturally specified using OCL. Not surprisingly, there have been already several attempts to map OCL into SQL. In this case study, we invite participants to implement, using their own model-transformation methods, one of these mappings, called OCL2PSQL. We propose this case study as a showcase for different methods to prove their readiness for coping with moderately complex model transformations, by showing the usability, conciseness, and ease of understanding of their solutions when implementing a non-trivial subset of OCL2PSQL.

## **KEYWORDS**

Model-transformation, OCL, SQL, TTC

#### **ACM Reference Format:**

Hoang Nguyen Phuoc Bao, Antonio García-Domínguez, and Manuel Clavel. 2021. The TTC 2021 OCL2PSQL Case. In Proceedings of ACM Conference (Conference'17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 9 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/ nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn

#### INTRODUCTION 1

The Object Constraint Language (OCL) [7] is a textual language typically used, as part of the UML standard [8], for specifying constraints and queries on models. It is a pure specification language: expressions evaluate to values without changing anything in the underlying model. OCL is a strongly-typed language: expressions either have a primitive type, a class type, a tuple type, or a collection type. The language provides standard operators on primitive data, tuples, and collections. It also provides a dot-operator to access the properties of the objects, and several iterators to iterate over collections.

The Structured Query Language (SQL) [9] is a special-purpose programming language designed for managing data in relational database management systems (RDBMS). Its scope includes data insert, query, update and delete, schema creation and modification,

54 fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org. Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

55 © 2021 Association for Computing Machinery.

56 ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00

57 https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnnnn

58

and data access control. Although SQL is, to a great extent, a declarative language, it also contains stored-procedures. These are routines stored in the database that may execute *loops* using the so-called cursors.

In the context of model-driven engineering, there exist several proposals for translating OCL into SQL [1, 3-5], which mostly differ in the way OCL iterators are translated. In particular, [3, 4] resort to imperative features of SQL (e.g. loops and cursors) for translating OCL iterators, while [1] introduces a mapping (OCL2PSQL) which only uses standard subselects and joins for translating OCL iterators<sup>1</sup>.

Example 1.1. As an example of the transformations produced by OCL2PSQL, suppose that we want to know if, in a given scenario, there is exactly one car. We can formalize this query in OCL as follows:

Car.allInstances()->size()=1

where we compare the number of objects in the class Car with an integer 1. OCL2PSQL translates this expression into an SQL select-statement as the comparison between the result of twosubqueries (e.g. TEMP\_left.res and TEMP\_right.res), representing respectively the result when evaluating each side of the comparison of the given OCL expression (e.g. Car.allInstances()->size() and 1). Furthermore, the subquery TEMP\_left returns the size of its subquery, aliased TEMP\_src, which is the translation of the subexpression Car.allInstances().

SELECT TEMP\_left.res = TEMP\_right.res AS res, 1 AS val FROM ( 4 . . .

SELECT COUNT(*) AS res, 1 AS val	
FROM (	
SELECT Car_id AS res, 1 AS val	
FROM Car	
) AS TEMP_src	
) AS TEMP_left	
JOIN (	
SELECT 1 AS res, 1 AS val	

) AS TEMP\_right

The full recursive definition of OCL2PSQL can be found in [1], but we have included in Appendix A the subset of the OCL2PSQL definition of the expressions involved in this competition. The solution authors can also use Appendix A to understand the above transformation.

The correctness of the mapping is formulated as follows. Let e be an OCL expression (with no free variables) and let O be a scenario of its context model. Then, the evaluation of the expression e in the scenario O should return the same result that the execution of the query OCL2PSQL(e), i.e., the SQL query generated by

113

114

115

116

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or 50 classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed 51 for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM 52 must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, 53 to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The letter "P" in OCL2PSQL stands for *pure* SQL. The idea is that OCL2PSQL only uses the declarative features of SQL for mapping OCL expressions.

OCL2PSQL from *e*, in the database OCL2PSQL(*O*), i.e., the database
 corresponding to *O* according to OCL2PSQL.<sup>2</sup>

The TTC 2021 OCL2PSQL Case welcomes participants to imple-ment the subset of the OCL2PSQL mapping provided in Appendix A using their own model-transformation methods. This case study can serve as a showcase for different methods to prove their readiness to coping with moderately complex model-transformations, by showing the usability, conciseness, and understandability of their solutions when implementing the subset of the OCL2PSQL. Participants are also welcome to extend or modify the given subset of the OCL2PSQL mapping, or even to propose their own mapping from OCL to SOL, in which cases they should also provide convincing arguments that their solution is correct. More information about the main task will be provided in the later section. Finally, participants are most welcome to propose their own attributes of interests: for example, flexibility for multiple RDBMSs, or support for formal verification. 

All resources for this case are available on Github <sup>3</sup>. Please follow the description in the footnote and create a pull request with your own solution after you have submitted your description to EasyChair.

The rest of the document is structured as follows: Section 2 describes the input and output of the OCL2PSQL transformation. Then, Section 3 provides the main task that should be tackled in a solution (participants are free to propose their own tasks of interest). Finally, Section 4 proposes the case evaluation scheme for the contest.

## 2 TRANSFORMATION DESCRIPTION

OCL2PSQL is a recently proposed mapping from OCL to SQL [1]. It addresses some of the challenges and limitations of previous OCLto-SQL mappings, particularly with respect to the execution-time efficiency of the generated SQL queries. [2]

Next, we give a detail description of the input and output metamodels for the TTC 2021 OCL2PSQL Case. The input metamodels represent the part of OCL language that is covered in this competition. The output metamodel represents the part of the SQL language that is used by OCL2PSQL to translate the aforementioned part of OCL language. Obviously, for solutions that extend or modify the OCL2PSQL mapping, as well as for solutions that propose an entirely different mapping from OCL to SQL, the input and output metamodels presented here may need to be extended or modify accordingly.

#### 2.1 Input Metamodel

OCL is a contextual language: its expressions are written in the context provided by a *data model*. Consequently, the input metamodel for OCL2PSQL can be seen as consisting of two, inter-related metamodels: namely, the metamodel for data models and the metamodel for OCL expressions. 2.1.1 Input metamodel for data models. For OCL2PSQL, a data model contains classes and associations. A class may have attributes and associations-ends. The multiplicity of an association-end is either 'one' or 'many'.

The data model metamodel for OCL2PSQL is shown in Figure 1. DataModel is the root element and contains a set of Entitys. Every Entity represents a class in the data model: it contains a set of Attributes and a set of AssociationEnds. Each Attribute represents an attribute of a class: it has a name and a type. Each AssociationEnd represents an association-end: it has a name, an association class name and an Multiplicity value. Each AssociationEnd is also linked to its opposite AssociationEnd, and with its target Entity.



Figure 1: OCL2PSQL metamodel for data models.

2.1.2 Input metamodel for OCL expressions. The definition of the OCL mapping as presented in Appendix A only covers a subset of the OCL language. For the OCL expressions involved in this competition, we have simplified the metamodel for OCL expressions to the minimum. For interested readers and solution authors who would like to extend or implement their own implementation, the class diagram of the OCL expression can be found in its specification document in [7]. The OCL2PSQL metamodel for OCL expressions in this competition is shown in Figure 2. In a nutshell, OclExpression is the root element. It is an abstract class. An OclExpression can be either a literal expression, a CallExp, a VariableExp, or a TypeExp. Next, we describe each of these classes.

A literal expression represents a literal value. In our case, it can be either an IntegerLiteralExp, a StringLiteralExp, or a BooleanLiteralExp. Each of these classes contains an attribute to represent, respectively, an integer, a string, or a boolean literal value.

A TypeExp represents a type expression. It contains an attribute referredType of type Entity, which belongs to the OCL2PSQL metamodel for data models.

A VariableExp represents a variable expression.

A CallExp represents an expression that consists of calling a feature over a source, which is represented by an OclExpression. CallExp is an abstract class: it can be either an OperationCallExp, a PropertyCallExp, an AssociationClassCallExp, or an IteratorExp.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The OCL2PSQL mapping rests on an underlying mapping between data models and
 SQL database schema. The full definition of this mapping is also provided in [1] but it is not needed in this case.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> https://github.com/bluezio/ttc2021-ocl2psql (temporary, to be moved to main TTC
 <sup>13</sup> Github organisation if accepted)

#### The TTC 2021 OCL2PSQL Case



#### Figure 2: OCL2PSQL metamodel for OCL expression.

An OperationCallExp represents an expression that calls an operation over its source, possibly with arguments. For our competition, we only consider the equality comparison, i.e. =; conjunctive operation, i.e. AND; and two collections' operations, i.e. allInstances() and size().

A PropertyCallExp represents an expression that calls an attribute over a source object. The former is represented by an Attribute and the later is represented by an Entity; both belong to the OCL2PSQL metamodel for data models. OCL2PSQL only supports PropertyCallExp expressions whose source is an VariableExp expression. For example, given *c* is a Variable of type Car, *c*.color is a PropertyCallExp expression to get the color of the Car.

An AssociationClassCallExp represents an expression that calls an association-end over a source object. The former is repre-sented by an AssociationEnd and the later is represented by an Entity; both belong to the OCL2PSQL metamodel for data models. OCL2PSQL only supports AssociationClassCallExp expressions whose source is an VariableExp expression. For example, given *c* is a Variable of type Car and owners is the association-end of Car, then *c*.owners is a AssociationClassCallExp expression to get the owners of the Car.

An IteratorExp represents an expression that calls an iterator over a source collection. The body of the iterator is represented by an OclExpression expression. The iterator-variable is represented by a Variable. In this competition, we support the following kinds of iterators: exists, and collect.

Figure 3 shows the object diagram of Car.allInstances()->size()=1 in Example 1.1. It is an OperationCallExp with referredOperation as =, in which:

 The source is another expression of class OperationCallExp with referredOperation as size, representing the subexpression Car.allInstances()->size(). Furthermore, in the aforementioned sub-expression, the source is, yet, another expression of class OperationCallExp with referredOperation as allInstances, representing the sub-expression Car.allInstances(). Finally, in the aforementioned sub-expression, the source is a TypeExp, representing the sub-expression Car, which refers to the Car Entity in the data model.

• The argument is an IntegerLiteralExp with integerValue of 1.



Figure 3: Object diagram of Car.allInstances()->size()=1

## 2.2 Output Metamodel

For OCL2PSQL, an SQL query is a basic SQL SELECT-statement, which may contain subselects, WHERE-clauses, GROUP BY-clauses, and JOINs. Figure 4 shows the overview diagram of a SQL SELECT-statement .

2.2.1 Output metamodel for SQL select-statements. The Select-Statement is the root element: it contains a PlainSelect, which represents the *body* of the select-statement.

A PlainSelect may contains the following objects: a list of selltems elements, each of type SelectItem; a fromItem element



#### Figure 4: OCL2PSQL metamodel for SQL select-statements.

of type FromItem; a whereExp element of type Expression; a list of joins elements, each of type Join; and a groupBy element of type GroupByElement. Next, we describe each of these classes:

A SelectItem represents a *column* that the select-statement retrieves. It contains an Expression element and an Alias element.

A FromItem element represents the *table* or *subselect* from which the select-statement retrieves information. It is an interface. A FromItem element can be either a Table or a SubSelect. The former represents a *table*. The later represents a *subselect*.

A whereExp reference of type Expression represents a *where*-clause.

A Join element represents a *join* with a rightItem of type FromItem, possibly according to its element onExp of type Expression.

A GroupByElement element represents a *groupby*-clause. It contains groupByExps, a list of objects of type Expression that defines how the rows are to be *grouped by*.

Expression is an interface element which plays many role in a SQL-select statement. For the sake of simplicity, the realizations of Expression are hidden from Figure 4. Next, we describe these realizations which our cases will need.

A LongValue and StringValue represent, respectively, a literal integer and a literal string in SQL.

A Column represents a column of a table in SQL.

A BinaryExpression represents a binary expression in SQL. It contains a leftExp element and a rightExp element, both of type Expression. BinaryExpression is an abstract class. It can be either a logical expression, (OrExpression or AndExpression), or a comparison expression (EqualsToExpression or GreaterThan-Expression).

An IsNullExpression represents an IS NULL-expression in SQL. It contains an Exp element of type Expression.

A CountAllFunction represents a COUNT(\*)-expression in SQL.

A CaseExpression represents a CASE-expression in SQL. It contains whenClauses, a list of objects of type WhenClause, representing WHEN-clauses in SQL.

A SubSelect represents a subselect-expression in SQL. It contains a selectBody of type PlainSelect .

For the sake of illustration, Figure 5 shows the object diagram of the following SOL-select statement:

SELECT COUNT(\*) > 0 AS res

FROM Car AS c WHERE c.color IS NULL

It is a SelectStatement with a PlainSelect as selectBody. The PlainSelect contains:

A SelectItem element that represents the clause

(SELECT) COUNT(\*) > 0 AS res

It contains a GreaterThanExpression expression, in which the leftExp is a CountAllFunction expression, and the rightExp is a LongValue expression with value 0. Furthermore, it has an Alias named res.

- A Car Table with an Alias named c, represents the clause (FROM) Car AS c.
- A IsNullExpression element that represents the clause (WHERE) c.color IS NULL

It contains a Column color referred from the Table Car of the previous clause (notice that in this case, the alias c of the Table Car is used as a name for the table referred to the color column).

## 3 MAIN TASK

The main task for the participants in the TTC 2021 OCL2PSQL Case consists of implementing, using their own model-transformation methods, the subset of OCL2PSQL mapping as it is defined in Appendix A. Participants are free to extend or modify the OCL2PSQL



Figure 5: Object diagram of SELECT COUNT(\*) > 0 as res FROM Car c WHERE c.color IS NULL

mapping, or even to propose their own mapping from OCL to SQL, in which case they should also provide convincing arguments that their solution is correct with respect to the semantics of OCL and SQL. <sup>4</sup>.

During the contest, the participants will be presented with different challenges of increasing complexity. Each challenge will be an OCL2PSQL OCL expression, i.e., an instance of the OCL2PSQL metamodel for OCL. The *context* for all the challenges will be an OCL2PSQL data model, i.e., an instance of the OCL2PSQL metamodel for data models. Then, the participants will be asked to generate the solutions for these challenges, applying their own transformation rules. Very importantly: (i) each solution should be a valid SQL select-statement in the database schema corresponding to the given data model, according to the definition of the OCL2PSQL mapping; moreover, (ii) each solution should be an SQL select-statement returning a result-table with (at least) a column res. Then, when *executing* the solution for a challenge on a given scenario, this column res will be interpreted as holding the result of evaluating the given challenge in the same scenario. Finally, the solutions will be checked for correctness, using a set of selected scenarios.

For the participants' convenience, we have grouped the challenges into different stages. Each stage contains challenges that apply similar OCL2PSQL mapping rules, particularly:

- Stage0 only requires the mapping rule for *literals*. The OCL expressions in this stage are context-free.
- Stage1 is similar to Stage1, with additional mapping rules for OperationalCallExp (operator: equality and conjunction). The OCL expressions in this stage are also context-free.
- Stage2 requires the mapping rule for OperationalCallExp (operator allInstances) and TypeExp. From this stage on, the OCL expressions are context-dependent, i.e., the underlying context model will be needed.

In the same folder, the file CarPerson.xmi contains the data model CarPerson in XMI-format.

• In the folder metamodels, the file ocl.ecore contains the EMF implementation of the OCL2PSQL metamodel for OCL expressions. Also in the same folder, the file sql.ecore contains the EMF implementation of the OCL2PSQL metamodel for SQL-select statements.

Person			Car
name:String	owners	*	color:String
	*	ownedCars	

Figure 6: The CarPerson data model.

## 4 BENCHMARK FRAMEWORK

The case resources on Github include an automated benchmark framework for systematic measurement of the performance and correctness of the various solutions. It is based on the framework

- Stage3 is similar to Stage2, with additional mapping rule for OperationalCallExp (operator: size and equality).
- Stage4 is similar to Stage3, with additional mapping rule for VariableExp and IteratorExp (kind: collect).
- Stage5 is similar to Stage4, with additional mapping rule for PropertyCallExp.
- Stage6 is similar to Stage4, with additional mapping rule for AssociationClassCallExp.
- Stage7 is similar to Stage5 and Stage6, with additional mapping rule for IteratorExp (kind: exists).
- Stage8 is a more complex version of Stage7, with nested IteratorExp of kind exists.

For the purpose of testing, the participants can find the following material in the case materials repository:

• In the docs folder, the file challenges.txt contains a list of *challenges* grouped in the aforementioned *stages*. Each stage has a unique number, and each challenge within a stage has also a unique number. The greater the number of a stage, the greater its complexity. The *context* for all challenges in challenges.txt is the data model CarPerson shown in Figure 6.

In the same folder, the file scenarios.txt contains a list of *scenarios*. Each scenario describes an instance of the data model CarPerson. Then, for each scenario, and each (relevant) stage/challenge listed in challenges.txt, the file scenarios.txt contains the *correct* result: i.e., the expected SQL result that corresponds to the evaluation of the given *stage*/challenge in the given scenario.

The folder input contains the challenges listed in challenges.
 The folder input contains the challenges listed in challenge.
 txt in XMI format. More specifically, each file Stagei-Challenge j.xmi contains the representation, in XMI-format, of the challenge j within the stage i in the file challengees.txt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>For the participants who would like to extend their implementation beyond the subset of OCL language provided for our competition, please revise the full version of our OCL2PSQL mapping in [1] with the "fixes" included in Appendix B.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

Hoang Nguyen Phuoc Bao, Antonio García-Domínguez and Manuel Clavel

## Listing 1: solution.ini file for the ReferenceXMI solution

[bu	ild]	
defa	ault=mvn	compile

skipTests=mvn compile

## [run]

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

cmd=mvn -f pom.xml -quiet -Pxmi exec:exec

of the TTC 2017 Smart Grid case [6], without the visualisation components. Solution authors are heavily recommended to adapt their solutions to this framework, to allow for the easier integration and comparison of the various solutions.

The configuration of the benchmark framework for the TTC 2021 OCL2PSQL case is stored in the file config.json inside the folder config. This file includes the definitions of the various stages and challenges, the name of the tools to be run, the number of repetitions to be applied, the timeout in milliseconds for each execution and the connection information for the local MySQL database. Currently, the file config.json has already contained the stages and challenges listed in the file challenges.txt.

In the folder docker, the Dockerfile contains the instruction to build a MySQL 5.7 Docker image that contains all the SQL data scenarios of CarPerson database corresponding to the ones listed in scenarios.txt. This image is currently used for building databases to test the correctness of the reference solution. Solution authors can use either the image we provide or their own local MySQL database installation, in which they would need to change the information in the config.

## 4.1 Solution requirements

All solutions must be forks of the main Github project, and should be submitted as pull requests after the descriptions have been uploaded to EasyChair.

All solutions should be in a subdirectory of the solutions folder, and inside this subdirectory they should include a solution.ini file describing how the solution should be built and how it should be run. As an example, Listing 1 shows the file for the reference solution. The build section provides the default and skipTests fields for specifying how to build and test, and how to simply build, respectively. In the run section, the cmd field specifies the command to run the solution.

Solutions should print to their standard output streams a sequence of lines with the following fields, separated by semicolons:

- **Tool**: name of the tool.
- **Stage**: integer with the stage within the case whose challenge is being solved.
- **Challenge**: integer with the challenge within the stage which is being solved.
- **RunIndex**: integer with the current repetition of the transformation.
- MetricName: may be "TransformTimeNanos", "TestTime-Nanos", or "ScenarioID" where ID is the identifier of the scenario under test.
- MetricValue: the value of the metric:

- For "TransformTimeNanos", an integer with the nanoseconds spent performing the transformation.
- For "TestTimeNanos", an integer with the nanoseconds spent testing the correctness of the transformation through executing the transformed SQL-select statement on different database scenarios.
- For metrics following the "ScenarioID" pattern, a string of either "passed" or "failed", indicating either the correctness of the transformation on that scenario.

The repetition of the transformation is handled by the framework. Moreover, for every repetition, the framework provides, through environment variables, the following information: the run index, stage number and challenge number, the OCL expression corresponding to the challenge in plaintext, as well as the file path of that expression in XMI-format, and the file path of the context of the challenge, also in XMI-format. More specifically, the available environment variables are:

- MySQLUsername: the username of the local MySQL database system on which the statement will be run.
- MySQLPassword: the password of the given user.
- **MySQLPort**: the port number the local MySQL database system.
- **StageIndex**: the index of the stage whose challenge is to be run.
- **ChallengeIndex**: the index of the challenge within the stage which will be run.
- **OCLQuery**: the OCL expression, in text-format, corresponding to the challenge to be run.
- **PathToOCLXMI**: the absolute path to the file containing the OCL expression, in XMI-format, corresponding to the challenge to be run.
- **PathToSchemaXMI**: the absolute path to the file containing the SQL schema, in XMI-format, corresponding to the context (data model) of the challenges to be run.
- **RunIndex**: the index of the repetition to be run.
- **Tool**: the name of the tool (the name of the solutions subfolder).

Solution authors may wish to consult the reference solution for guidance on how to use the various environment variables and how to test the correctness of your transformations. Solution authors are free to reuse the source code of this reference solution for these aspects (e.g. the CaseLauncher and Configuration classes), as well as the lib/sql.jar library, in the reference solution , that parses the SQL-select statement from XMI model to plaintext. The reference solution uses Maven to retrieve the appropriate libraries for communicating with the our own implementation of OCL2PSQL. In addition, we have also installed locally additional libraries in folder lib using a shell script. The instruction for running the reference solution can be found on the benchmark repository.

## 4.2 Running the benchmark

The benchmark framework needs Python 3.3 or later to be installed, and the reference solution requires Maven 3 and Java 8 or later. Solution authors are free to use alternative frameworks and programming languages, as long as these dependencies are explicitly documented. For the final evaluation, it is planned to construct a

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637 638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

812

Docker image with all solutions, and this will require installing those dependencies into the image.

If all dependencies are installed, the benchmark can be run with python scripts/run.py (potentially python3 if Python 2.x is installed globally in the same system).

## 5 EVALUATION

The benchmark framework will provide independent measurements of the correctness, completeness, and time usage of the solutions provided by the participants. Attendees to the contest will evaluate the usability, conciseness, and understandability of the transformation rules that define the different solutions, as well as the other attributes of interest that the solution providers may want to focus in. In this regard, although some solutions may not be entirely complete or may be hard to understand, they may still serve as examples of active research areas within model transformations that the community may wish to showcase. To recognize these contributions, an audience-driven "Most Promising" award will be given.

#### REFERENCES

- H. Nguyen Phuoc Bao and M. Clavel. 2019. OCL2PSQL: An OCL-to-SQL Code-Generator for Model-Driven Engineering. In *Future Data and Security Engineering* - 6th International Conference, FDSE 2019, Proceedings (Lecture Notes in Computer Science), T. Khanh Dang, J. Küng, M. Takizawa, and S. Ha Bui (Eds.), Vol. 11814. Springer, 185–203.
- [2] M. Clavel and H. Nguyen Phuoc Bao. 2019. Mapping OCL into SQL: Challenges and Opportunities Ahead. In 19th International Workshop in OCL and Textual Modeling (OCL 2019) co-located with MODELS 2019 (CEUR Workshop Proceedings), A. D. Brucker, G. Daniel, and F. Jouault (Eds.), Vol. 2513. CEUR-WS.org, 3–16.
- [3] M. Egea and C. Dania. 2019. SQL-PL4OCL: an automatic code generator from OCL to SQL procedural language. Software and Systems Modeling 18, 1 (2019), 769–791.
- [4] M. Egea, C. Dania, and M. Clavel. 2010. MySQL4OCL: A Stored Procedure-Based MySQL Code Generator for OCL. ECEASST 36 (2010).
- [5] F. Heidenreich, C. Wende, and B. Demuth. 2008. A Framework for Generating Query Language Code from OCL Invariants. ECEASST 9 (2008).
- [6] Georg Hinkel. 2017. An NMF solution to the Smart Grid Case at the TTC 2017. In Proceedings of the 10th Transformation Tool Contest (TTC 2017), co-located with the 2017 Software Technologies: Applications and Foundations (STAF 2017), Marburg, Germany, July 21, 2017 (CEUR Workshop Proceedings), Antonio García-Domínguez, Georg Hinkel, and Filip Krikava (Eds.), Vol. 2026. CEUR-WS.org, 13–17. http: //ceur-ws.org/Vol-2026/paper5.pdf
- [7] Object Management Group. 2014. Object Constraint Language specification Version 2.4. Technical Report.
- [8] Object Management Group. 2017. Unified Modeling Language. Technical Report.
- [9] International Organization for Standardization. 2011. ISO/IEC 9075-(1-10) Information technology – Database languages – SQL. Technical Report.

## A THE MAPPING OCL2PSQL IN A NUTSHELL

The mapping OCL2PSQL is defined recursively over the structure of OCL expressions. To describe the key idea underlying its definition, and to illustrate it with the presentation of some recursive cases, we need to introduce first some notation.

*Notation.* Let *qry* be an SQL query. Let *db* be an SQL database. 745 Then, we denote by Exec(qry, db) the result of executing qry on db. 746 Let *e* be an OCL expression. Then, we denote by FVars(*e*) the set of 747 748 variables that occur free in e, i.e., that are not bound by any iterator. Let e be an OCL expression, and let v be a variable introduced in 749 *e* by an iterator expression  $s \rightarrow iter(v \mid b)$ . Then, we denote by 750  $\operatorname{src}_e(v)$  the source s of v in e. Let e be an OCL expression and let 751 752 e' be a subexpression of e. Then, we denote by  $SVars_e(e')$  the set 753 of variables which (the value of) e' depends on, and is defined as

follows:

$$\operatorname{SVars}_{e}(e') = \bigcup_{v \in \operatorname{FVars}(e')} \{v\} \cup \operatorname{SVars}_{e}(\operatorname{src}_{e}(v)).$$

Let *e* be an OCL expression, such that  $FVars(e) = \emptyset$ . Let *O* be a scenario. Then, we denote by Eval(e, O) the result of *evaluating e* in *O*.

Finally, let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a data model. Then, we denote by map( $\mathcal{D}$ ) the SQL database schemata corresponding to  $\mathcal{D}$ , according to OCL2PSQL. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a data model, and let O be a scenario of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then, we denote by map(O) the instance of  $\mathcal{D}$  corresponding to O, according to OCL2PSQL. Let e be an OCL expression, let e' be a subexpression of e. Then, we denote by map<sub>e</sub>(e') the SQL query corresponding to OCL2PSQL.

Definition: key idea and some cases. The different recursive cases follow the same design principle: namely, let e be an OCL2PSQLexpression, let e' be a subexpression of e, and let O be a scenario. Then,  $\text{Exec}(\text{map}_e(e'), \text{map}(O))$  returns a table, with a column res, a column val, and, for each  $v \in \text{SVars}_e(e')$ , a column  $\text{ref}_v$ . Informally, for each row in this table: (i) the columns  $\text{ref}_v$  contain a valid "instantiation" for the iterator variables of which the evaluation of e' depends on (if any); (ii) the column val contains 0 when evaluating the expression e', with the "instantiation" represented by the columns  $\text{ref}_v$ , evaluates to the *empty set*; otherwise, the column val contains 1; (iii) when the column val contains 1, the column res contains the result of evaluating the expression e' with the "instantiation" represented by the columns  $\text{ref}_v$ ; when the column val contains 0, the value contained in the column res is not meaningful.

We define the recursive definition of OCL2PSQL mappings that will be used in our competition. The definition here was taken from the original paper and has already included the corrigenda in Appendix B.

# Literal strings (correspondingly: integers, booleans)

Let *e* be an OCL expression. Let e' be a subexpression of *e*. Let e' = l, where *l* is either a literal string. Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{map}_{e}(l) = \\ \mathrm{SELECT} \ l \ \mathrm{as} \ \mathrm{res}, \ \mathrm{l} \ \mathrm{as} \ \mathrm{val} \end{split}$$

## Variables

Let *e* be an OCL expression. Let *e*' be a subexpression of *e*. Let e' = v, where *v* is a variable. Then,

$\operatorname{map}_{e}(v) =$	805
SELECT	806
TEMP_dmn.res as res,	807
TEMP_dmn.res as ref_ $v$ ,	808
TEMP_dmn.val as val,	809
TEMP_dmn.ref_ $v'$ as ref_ $v'$ , for each $v' \in SVars_e(src(v))$	810
FROM $(map_e(src(v)))$ as TEMP_dmn	811

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

## **813** Attribute-expressions

814

815

816

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

870

Let *e* be an OCL expression. Let e' be a subexpression of *e*. Let e' = v. *att*, where *v* is a variable of class-type *c* and *att* is an attribute of the class *c*. Then,

817  $\operatorname{map}_{e}(v . att) =$ 818 SELECT 819 c. att as res, 820 TEMP\_obj.val as val, 821 TEMP\_obj.ref\_v' as ref\_v', for each  $v' \in SVars_e(v)$ 822 FROM  $(map_e(v))$  as TEMP\_obj 823 LEFT JOIN c 824 ON TEMP\_obj.ref\_v = c.c\_id AND TEMP\_obj.val = 1 825

## Association-ends-expressions

Let *e* be an OCL expression. Let *e'* be a subexpression of *e*. Let e' = v .ase, where *v* is a variable of class-type *c*, and ase is an association-end of the class *c*.

Let Assoc(ase) be the association to which *ase* belongs, and let Oppos(ase) be the association-end at the opposite end of *ase* in Assoc(ase). Then,

833  $map_e(v . ase) =$ 834 SELECT 835 Assoc(ase). ase as res, 836 CASE Assoc(ase). Oppos(ase) IS NULL 837 WHEN 1 THEN 0 838 ELSE 1 END as val, 839 TEMP\_src.ref\_v' as ref\_v', for each  $v' \in SVars_e(v)$ 840 FROM  $(map_e(v))$  as TEMP\_src 841 LEFT JOIN Assoc(ase) 842 ON TEMP\_src.ref\_v = Assoc(ase).Oppos(ase) 843 844

## AllInstances-expressions

Let *e* be an OCL expression. Let *e'* be a subexpression of *e*. Let e' = c .allInstances(), where *c* is a class type. Then, map<sub>e</sub>(*c* .allInstances())= SELECT *c*\_id as res, 1 as val FROM *c* 

#### size-expressions

Let *e* be an OCL expression. Let *e'* be a subexpression of *e*. Let  $e' = s \rightarrow$ size(). We need to consider the following cases:

055	• $F vars(e) = \emptyset$ . Then,
855	man(s - size()) =
856	$\operatorname{IIIap}_{e}(s \rightarrow size()) =$
857	SELECT
000	COUNT(*) as res,
010	1 as val
859	FDOM (man (a)) AS TEMP and
860	FROM $(\operatorname{map}_{e}(s))$ AS TEMP_SPC.
861	• $\operatorname{FVars}(e') \neq \emptyset$ , Then,
862	$map_e(s \rightarrow size()) =$
863	SELECT
864	CASE TEMP_src.val = 0
865	WHEN 1 THEN 0
866	ELSE COUNT(*) END as res,
867	TEMP_src.ref_v as ref_v, for each $v \in SVars_e(s)$
868	1 as val
869	FROM $(map_e(s))$ AS TEMP_src

Hoang Nguyen Phuoc Bao, Antonio García-Domínguez and Manuel Clavel

GROUP BY	871
TEMP_src.ref_v, for each $v \in SVars_e(s)$ ,	872
TEMP_src.val.	873
	874

875

876

877

878

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914 915

## =-expressions (correspondingly, and-expressions)

Let *e* be an OCL expression. Let *e'* be a subexpression of *e*. Let e' = (l = r). For our competition, we only need to consider the following cases:

```
879
• FVars(l) = FVars(r) = \emptyset. Then,
                                                                         880
  \operatorname{map}_{e}(l = r) =
                                                                         881
  SELECT
                                                                         882
     TEMP_left.res = TEMP_right.res as res,
                                                                         883
     1 as val
                                                                         884
  FROM
                                                                         885
     (map_{e}(l)) AS TEMP_left,
                                                                         886
     (map_e(r)) AS TEMP_right
                                                                         887
• \text{FVars}(l) \neq \emptyset, \text{SVars}(r) \subseteq \text{SVars}(l). Then,
                                                                         888
                                                                         889
  \operatorname{map}_{e}(l = r) =
  SELECT
                                                                         890
     TEMP_left.res = TEMP_right.res as res,
                                                                         891
     CASE
                                                                         892
       TEMP_left.val = 0 OR TEMP_right.val = 0
                                                                         893
       WHEN 1 THEN 0
                                                                         894
                                                                         895
       ELSE 1 END as val,
                                                                         896
     TEMP_left.ref_v as ref_v, for each v \in SVars_e(l)
  FROM (map_e(l)) AS TEMP_left
                                                                         897
                                                                         898
  [LEFT] JOIN (map_{e}(r)) AS TEMP_right
                                                                         899
  [ON TEMP_left.ref_v = TEMP_right.ref_v,
                                                                         900
       for each v \in SVars_e(l) \cap SVars_e(r)].
```

#### collect-expressions

Let *e* be an OCL expression. Let *e'* be a subexpression of *e*. Let e' = s ->collect( $v \mid b$ ). For our competition, we only need to consider the following case:

 v ∈ FVars(b) and FVars(e') = Ø.
 SELECT TEMP\_body.res as res, TEMP\_body.val as val,
 FROM (map<sub>e</sub>(b)) as TEMP\_body

#### exists-expressions

Let *e* be an OCL2PSQL-expression. Let *e*' be a subexpression of *e*. Let e' = s ->exists(v | b). For our competition, we only need to consider the following cases:

• $v \in FVars(b)$ and $FVars(e') = \emptyset$ . Then	916
	917
	918
COUNT(*) > 0 as res,	919
1 as val	920
FROM $(map_e(b))$ as TEMP_body	921
WHERE TEMP_body.res = $1$	922
• $v \in FVars(b)$ and $FVars(e') \neq \emptyset$ . Then	923
SELECT	924
CASE TEMP_body.ref_ $v$ IS NULL	925
WHEN 1 THEN 0	926
ELSE TEMP_body.res END as res,	927
	928

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

929	1 as val,
930	TEMP_src.ref_ $v'$ as ref_ $v'$ ,
931	for each $v' \in SVars(s)$ ,
932	TEMP_body.ref_ $v'$ as ref_ $v'$ ,
933	for each $v' \in SVars(b) \setminus SVars(s) \setminus \{v\}$
934	FROM $(map_e(s))$ as TEMP_src
935	LEFT JOIN (
936	<pre>SELECT COUNT(*) &gt; 0 as res,</pre>
937	TEMP_body.ref_ $v'$ as ref_ $v'$ ,
938	for each $v' \in SVars(b)$
939	FROM $(map_e(b))$ as TEMP_body
940	WHERE $TEMP\_body.res = 1$
941	GROUP BY TEMP_body.ref_ $v'$ ,
942	for each $v' \in SVars(b) \setminus \{v\}$
943	) as TEMP_body
944	ON TEMP_src.ref_ $v'$ = TEMP_body.ref_ $v'$ ,
945	for each $v' \in SVars(s)$
946	

## **B** CORRIGENDUM

In [1, Section 4.3], in the second case considered in the definition of the mapping for Exists-expressions instead of:

•  $v \in FVars(b)$  and  $FVars(e') \neq \emptyset$ . Then

SELECT

CASE TEMP_src.ref_v IS NULL	987
WHEN 1 THEN 0	988
ELSE <u>TEMP.res</u> END as res,	989
	990
LEFT JOIN (	991
<pre>SELECT COUNT(*) &gt; 0 as res,</pre>	992
TEMP_body.ref_ $v'$ as ref_ $v'$ ,	993
for each $v' \in SVars(b) \setminus \{v\}$	994
it should read:	995
• $v \in FVars(b)$ and $FVars(e') \neq \emptyset$ . Then	996
SELECT	997
CASE TEMP body ref $v$ IS NULL	998
WHEN 1 THEN 0	999
ELSE TEMP body ros END as ros	1000
ELSE <u>TEMP_body.res</u> END as res,	1001
	1002
LEFT JOIN (	1003
SELECT COUNT( $*$ ) > 0 as res,	1004
TEMP_body.ref_ $v'$ as ref_ $v'$ ,	1005
for each $v' \in \underline{SVars}(b)$	1006
—	

And similar errors should be corrected in [1, Section 4.3], in the second case considered in the definition of the mapping for ForAll-expressions.